1	DAYLE ELIESON	
$_2$	United States Attorney CHAD W. MCHENRY Assistant United States Attorney District of Nevada 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336	
3		
3		
4		
5	Chad.W.McHenry@usdoj.gov	
6	Representing the United States of America	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9		
10	United States of America,	Case No. 2:18-mj-80-NJK-1
	Plaintiff,	Č
11	v.	Stipulation to Continue Preliminary Hearing
12		(First Request)
13	Cameron Thomas, et al.	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between DAYLE ELIE	
17	SON, United States Attorney, and CHAD W. MCHENRY, Assistant United States	
18	Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and RENE VALLADARES, Fed	
19	eral Public Defender, and RAQUEL LAZO, Assistant Federal Public Defender, coun	
20	sel for CAMERON THOMAS, that the Preliminary Hearing, currently scheduled for	
21	March 8, 2018, be vacated and continued to a date and time convenient to this Court	
22	but in any event no sooner than sixty (60) days.	

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. The government is in the process of providing a substantial amount of discovery to the defense pre-indictment. The defense requires additional time to review and investigate said discovery once it has been provided.
- 2. Given the serious nature of the charges in this case, arising from an alleged shooting that occurred within the boundaries of the Lake Mead National Recreation Area, the defense requires additional time to discuss the state of the evidence with the defendant, and with the government.
- 3. The government requires additional time to review newly-discovered evidence obtained subsequent to the complaint being filed in this case, and to discuss that evidence with counsel for the defense.
 - 4. The defendant is out of custody, and agrees with this continuance.
 - 5. The parties agree to the continuance.
 - 6. This is the first request for such a continuance.
 - 7. The additional time requested is not sought for purposes of delay.

- The rest of this page left intentionally blank. -

The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing 1 2 time within which which an information or an indictment must be filed, or in computing time within which trial in the above-captioned matter must commence under 3 the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv). 4 Denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. 5 6 **DATED** this 2nd day of March, 2018. 7 8 Respectfully submitted, 9 10 By: Agreed: 11 DAYLE ELIESON RENE VALLADARES Federal Public Defender United States Attorney 12 /s/ Chad McHenry /s/ Raquel Lazo 13 CHAD W. MCHENRY RAQUEL LAZO Assistant United States Attorney Assistant Federal Public Defender 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

v.

Cameron Thomas, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-mj-80-NJK

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order re: Continuance of Preliminary Hearing

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

- 1. The government is in the process of providing a substantial amount of discovery to the defense pre-indictment. The defense requires additional time to review and investigate said discovery once it has been provided.
- 2. Given the serious nature of the charges in this case, arising from an alleged shooting that occurred within the boundaries of the Lake Mead National Recreation Area, the defense requires additional time to discuss the state of the evidence with the defendant, and with the government.

- 3. The government requires additional time to review newly-discovered evidence obtained subsequent to the complaint being filed in this case, and to discuss that evidence with counsel for the defense.
 - 4. The defendant is out of custody, and agrees with this continuance.
 - 5. The parties agree to the continuance.
 - 6. This is the first request for such a continuance.
 - 7. The additional time requested is not sought for purposes of delay.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant the continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, and would also deny the parties sufficient time and the opportunity to effectively and thoroughly prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which within which an information or an indictment must be filed, or in computing time within which trial in the above-captioned matter must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), weighing the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

- The rest of this page left intentionally blank. -

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Preliminary Hearing, currently scheduled for March 8, 2018, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to April 24, 2018, at 4:00 p.m., in Courtroom 3D.

DATED this 2nd day of March

Hon. Nancy J Koppe United States Magistrate Judge District of Nevada